UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,929	10/03/2005	Miguel Lancho Doncel	U 15798-8	6577
140 LADAS & PAR	7590 01/13/201 RRY LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER	
26 WEST 61ST		STERLING, AMY JO		
NEW YORK, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3632	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/13/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

nyuspatactions@ladas.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/536,929	LANCHO DONCEL, MIGUEL				
Examiner-limitated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	AMY J. STERLING	3632				
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>				
(1) <u>AMY J. STERLING</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>John Richards</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 4 January 2011	Time: <u>12pm</u>					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed: See Continuation Sheet						
Claims discussed:						
Prior art documents discussed:						
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet						
Part III.						
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance			
/Amy J. Sterling/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3632 1/4/11 (A _I	oplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Continuation of rejections discussed: The applicant was contacted to review the RCE request dated 6/28/10. The examiner explained that a new claim set was not sent with the request and the examiner would be willing to discuss putting the application in condition for allowance if the applicant was amendable to adding more concrete structural language to claim 1 and choosing one embodiment to pursue. The examiner also noted that the applicant may have until January 14 to work out this allowable subject matter and if an agreement wasn't reached. An action made final would ensue on that date.